Letter from Deputy Prime Minister, Sardar Vhallabhbhai Patel to Prime Minister Jahawarlal Nehru

New Delhi 7 November 1950

My dear Jawaharlal,

- 1. Ever since my return from Ahmedabad and after the cabinet meeting the same day which I had to attend practically at 15 minutes' notice and for which I regret I was not able to read all the papers, I have been anxiously thinking over the problem of Tibet and thought I should share with you what is passing through my mind.
- 2. I have carefully gone through the correspondence between the External Affairs Ministry and our Ambassador in Peking and through him the Chinese Government. I have tried to peruse this correspondence as favorably to our Ambassador and the Chinese Government as possible, but I regret to say that neither of them comes out well as a result of this study. The Chinese Government has tried to delude us by professions of peaceful intention. My own feeling is that at a crucial period they manage to instill into our Ambassador a false sense of confidence in their so called desire to settle the Tibetan problem by peaceful means. There can be no doubt that during the period covered by this correspondence the Chinese must have been concentrating for an onslaught on Tibet. The rival action of the Chinese, in my judgment, is little short of perfidy The tragedy of it is that the Tibetans put faith in us; they choose to be guided by us; and we have been unable to get them out of the meshes of Chinese diplomacy or Chinese malevolence. From the latest position, it appears that we shall be not be able to rescue the Dalai Lama. Our Ambassador has been at great pain to find an explanation or justification for Chinese policy and actions. As the External Affairs Ministry remarked in one of their telegrams, there "as a lack of firmness and unnecessary apology in one or two representations that he made to the Chinese Government on our behalf. It is impossible to imagine any sensible person believing in the so-called threat to China from Anglo-American diplomacy or strategy. This feeling, if genuinely entertained by the Chinese in spite of your direct approaches to them, indicates that even though we regard ourselves as friends of China. the Chinese do not regard us as their friends. With the Communist mentality of 'whoever is not with them being against them," this is a significant pointer. of which we have to take due note. During the last several months outside the Russian camp, we have practically been alone in championing the cause of Chinese entry into UN and in securing from the Americans assurances on the question of Formosa. We

have done everything we could to assuage Chinese feelings, to allay its apprehensions and to defend us legitimate claims in our discussions and correspondence with America and Britain and in the UN. In spite of this China is not convinced about our disinterestedness; it continues to regard us with suspicion and the whole psychology is one, at least outwardly, of skepticism perhaps mixed with a little hostility. I doubt if we can go any further than we have done already to convince China of our good intentions, friendliness and goodwill. In Peking we have an Ambassador who is eminently suitable for putting across die friendly point of view Even he seems to have failed to convert the Chinese. Their last telegram to us is an act of gross discourtesy not only in the summary way it disposes of our protest against the entry of Chinese forces into Tibet but also in the wild insinuation that our attitude is determined by foreign influences. It looks as though it is not a friend speaking in that language but a potential enemy.

3. In the background of this. We have to consider what new situation now faces us as a result of the disappearance of Tibet, as we knew it, and the expansion of China almost up to our gates. Throughout history we have seldom been worried about our North-East frontier. The Himalayas have been regarded as an impenetrable barrier against any threat from the North. We had friendly Tibet which gave us no trouble. The Chinese were divided. They had their own domestic problems and never bothered us about our frontiers. In 1914, we entered into a convention with Tibet which was not endorsed by the Chinese. We seem to have regarded Tibetan autonomy's extending to independent treaty relationship. presumably, all that we required was Chinese counter The Chinese interpretation of suzerainty seems to be different. We can, therefore, safely assume that very soon they will disown all the stipulations which Tibet has entered into with us in die past. That throws into die melting pot all frontier and commercial settlements with Tibet on which we have been functioning and acting during the last half a century. China is no longer divided. It is united and strong. All along the Himalayas in the North and North-East we have on our side of the frontier a population ethnologically and culturally not different from Tibetans and Mongoloids. The undefined state of the frontier and the existence on our side of a population with its affinities to the Tibetans or Chinese have all the elements of the potential trouble between China and ourselves. Recent and bitter history also tells us that communism is no shield against imperialism and that the communist arc as good or as had imperialist as any other. Chinese ambitions in this respect not only covered the Himalayan slopes on our side hut also include the important part of Assam. They have their ambitions in Burma also. Burma has the added difficulty that it has no McMahon Line round which to build up even the semblance of an agreement. Chinese irredentism and communist imperialism are different from the expansionism or imperialism of the Western powers. The former has a clock of

ideology which makes it ten times more dangerous. In the guise of ideological expansion lie concealed racial. national or historical claims. The danger from the North and North-East, therefore. becomes both communist and imperialist. While our Western and North-Western threat to security is still as prominent as before, a new threat has developed from the North and North-East. Thus, for the first time, after centuries, India's defense has to concentrate itself on two fronts simultaneously. Our defense measures have so far been based on the calculations of superiority over Pakistan. In our calculations we shall now have to reckon with Communist China in the North and in the North-East, a Communist China which has definite ambitions and aims and which does not, in any way, seem friendly disposed towards us.

- 4. Let us also consider the political conditions on this potentially troublesome frontier. Our Northern and North-Eastern approaches consist of Nepal, Bhutan, Sikkim. the Darjeeling (Area) and tribal areas in Assam. From the point of view of communication, there are weak spots. Continuous defensive lines do not seem to be fully manned. The contact of these areas with us is by no means close and intimate. The people inhabiting these portions have no established loyalty or devotion to India even the Darjeeling and Kalimpong areas arc not free from pro-Mongoloid prejudices. During the last three years we have not been able to make any appreciable approaches to the Nagas and other hill tribes in Assam. European missionaries and other visitors had been in touch with them, but their influence was in no way friendly to India/Indians. In .Sikkim there was political ferment some time ago. It is quite possible this discontent is smoldering there. Bhutan is comparatively quiet, but its affinity with Tibetans would be a handicap Nepal has a weak oligarchic regime based almost entirely on force; it is in conflict with a turbulent element of the population as well with an enlightened ideas of the modern age. In these circumstances to make people alive to the new danger or to make them defensively strong is a very difficult task indeed and that difficult can be over only by enlightened firmness, strength and ii clear line of policy I am sure the Chinese and their source of inspiration, Soviet Russia would not miss any opportunity of exploiting these weak spots, partly in support of their ideology' and partly in support of their ambitions. In my judgement, the situation is one which we can not afford either to be complacent or to be vacillating. We must have a clear idea of what we wish to achieve and also of the methods by which 'we should achieve it. Any faltering or lack of decisiveness in formulating our objectives or in pursuing our policies to attain those objectives is bound to weaken us and increase the threats which are so evident.
- 5. Side by side with these external dangers, we shall now have to face serious internal problems as well. I have already asked (HVR) lyengar to send to the F.A. Ministry a copy of the Intelligence Bureau's appreciation of these matters. Hitherto, the Communist

Part" of India has found some difficulty in contacting communists abroad, or in getting supplies of arms, literature, etc. from them. They had to contend with the difficult Burmese and Pakistan frontiers on the East with the long sea board. They shall now have a comparatively easy means of access to Chinese communists and through them to other foreign communists. Infiltration of spies, fifth columnists and communists would now be easier. Instead of having to deal with isolated communist pockets and Telengana and Warangal we may have to deal communist threats to our security along our Northern and North-eastern frontiers where, for supplies of arms and ammunition, they can safely depend on communist arsenals in China. The whole situation thus raises a number of problems on which we must come to early decision so that we can, as I said earlier, formulate the objectives of our policy and decide the method by which those objectives are to be attained. It Is also clear that the action will have to be fairly comprehensive, involving not only our defense strategy and state of preparations but also problem of internal security to deal with which we have not a moment to lose. We shall also have to deal with administrative and political problem in the weak spots along the frontier to which I have already referred.

- 6. It is of course. impossible to be exhaustive in setting out all these problems. I am. however giving below some of the problems \which in my opinion. require early solution and round which we have to build our administrative or military policies and measures to implement them.
 - A Military and Intelligence appreciation of the Chinese threat to India both on the frontier and internal security.
 - ➤ An examination of military position and such redisposition of our forces as might be necessary, particularly with the idea of guarding important routes or areas which are likely to be the subject of dispute.
 - ➤ An appraisement of strength of our forces and. if necessary, reconsideration of our retrenchment plans to the Army in the light of the new threat. A long-term consideration of our defense needs. My own feeling is that, unless we assure our supplies of arms, am-munitions and armour, we should be making a defense position perpetually weak and we would not be able to stand up to the double threat of difficulties both from the West and North-West and North and North-East.
 - ➤The question of Chinese entry into UNO. In view of rebuff with China has given us and the method which it has followed in dealing with Tibet, I am doubtful whether we can advocate its claims any longer. There would probably be a threat in the UNO virtually to outlaw China in view of its active participation

in Korean War. We must determine our attitude on this question also.

- ➤ The political and administrative steps which we should take to strengthen our Northern and North-Eastern frontier. This would include whole of border, i.e. Nepal, Bhutan. Sikkim. Darjeeling and tribal territory of Assam.
- Measure of internal security in the border areas as well as the state flanking those areas such as U.P., Bihar, Bengal and Assam.
- ➤ Improvement of our communication, road. rail. air and wireless. ii, these areas and with the frontier outposts. h- The future or our mission at Lhasa and the trade post of Gyantse and Yatung and the forces which we have in operation in Tibet guard in trade routes.
- > The policies in regards to McMahon Line.

These are some of the questions which occur to my mind. It is possible that a consideration of these matters matter lead us into wider question of our relationship with China, Russia. America, Britain and Burma. This, however, would be of a general nature. though some might be basically very important. i.e. we might have to consider whether we should not enter into closer association with Burma in order to strengthen the latter in its dealings with China. I do not rule out the possibility that, before applying pressure on us, China might apply pressure on Burma. the frontier is entirely undefined and the Chinese territorial claims are more substantial. In its present position, Burma might offer an easier problem to China, and, therefore, might claim its first attention.

I suggest that we meet early to have a general discussion on these problems and decide on such steps as we might think to be immediately necessary and direct, quick examination of other problems with a view of taking early measure to deal with them.

Yours, Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Shri Jawaharlal Nehru New Delhi